

UNIVERSITY OF SARGODHA

Directorate of Information Technology



The Secretary Purchase Committee (CMS), University of Sargodha.

Subject: Proceeding on Technical Evaluation of Bid for Purchase of Campus Management System (CMS)

It is with reference to your office letter Ref: No. SU/PO/599-22, Dated: Friday, August 05, 2022 on subject matter. After receiving three (03) numbers of bids/proposals from your office, said proposals were referred to our concerned team of developers (at WDC) to study the relevant technical portion of received proposals and to share their observations/findings (within a week). After passing the said period, a meeting of Technical Committee was held on Monday, August 22, 2022 in the office of In-charge Department of CS & IT for review of observations of concerned technical team and detailed evaluation of received proposals as per evaluation criteria mentioned in Tender Documents. The Technical Committee prepared a technical report for received bids/proposals as per Part-A of evaluation criteria, the same is enclosed as Annex-A for review and further necessary action.

2- Furthermore, all the three (03) bidders/firms are qualified as per Part-A of evaluation criteria, so for further evaluation of bidders/firms as per Part-B evolution criteria, all the qualified bidders are required to physically present their proposed solution at University. It is therefore desired that your office may please invite all the qualified bidders/firms as per following schedule:

| Sr.# | Bidder / Firm | Date / Day | Time |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Bidder A (alphabetical order) | Tuesday, September 06, 2022 | 1030 – 1200 |
| 2 | Bidder B (alphabetical order) | Tuesday, September 06, 2022 | 1200 - 1330 |
| | SESSION BREAK | | 1330 - 1430 |
| 3 | Bidder C (alphabetical order) | Tuesday, September 06, 2022 | 1430 - 16:00 |

- 3- Details of parameters to be evaluated under evaluation criteria of Part -B are enclosed as Annex-B (vetted by Technical Committee), it is desired that the same evaluation criteria may please also be conveyed to the bidders/firms along with the invitation for physical presentation of proposed solution.
- 4- All three (03) Technical proposals (received from your office) are enclosed as original.

Submitted for your information and further necessary action as per due process.

Chairman,

Technical Committee (CMS)

Director IT, UOS.







| | TECHNICAL EVALUATION | | (P | art A) | |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sr. No. | Documents Required | Marks | AARSOL | Quick Links | Wateen |
| C-NO COMMUNICA | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | Max | , | | |
| | Details of Offices | Marks 5 | The state of the s | | and of the later of the later |
| 1. | Branch office in Karachi + Lahore + Rawalpindi / Islamabad + Faisalabad Branch office Karachi + Rawalpindi / Islamabad + Lahore Branch office only in one city | 05 04 03 | 05 | 03 | 05 |
| LINE OF STREET | | Max | and the same of th | | |
| | Company has been in Existence Since (years) | Marks 10 | AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON | O CONTRACTOR | |
| 2. | 07 years and above 05 to 07 years Less than 05 years | 10 07 05 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | DELOW 2 VEADS MOT ELIGIBLE | THE RESIDENCE OF MICH. | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. | | |
| Access (1981) | BELOW 3 YEARS NOT ELIGIBLE | Max | THE CONTRACT CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA | | |
| | Company Certifications | Marks 10 | A AL COMPANIES OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | | - |
| | • | | i | | |
| 3. | CMM, ISO Certifications and other relevant / applicable certifications of National and International levels | | 0 | 10 | 05 |
| | Summary of Relevant Projects in Last Five Years with Govt. | Max Marks 10 | , | and the second second second second | |
| 4. | / Semi Govt, which shows turnover business of list of items applied. (Attach Purchase orders or other documentary evidence) | | | | |
| 4. | | 10 | | 0.5 | 10 |
| | 30 Million or above 20 Million or above | 07 | 07 | 05 | 10 |
| | 10 Million or above | 05 | | | |
| | Summary of Relevant Projects with Govt. / Semi Govt. (for CMS/LMS/Financials development, deployment and after services) (Attach Purchase orders or other documentary evidence) | · Max · Marks 15 | | | |
| 5. | 05 Number of projects and above | | | | |
| | 03 Number of projects and above Less than 03 Number of projects | 15 10 05 | 15 | 10 | 15 |
| | Summary of Projects | Max | | | |
| | (Attach Purchase orders or other documentary evidence) | Marks 10 | | - | |
| 6. | | 05 05 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| | Minimum Qualification Criteria is 70% Total Marks: 60 Passing Marks: 42 | Total Marks 60 | 47 | 48 | 55 |

Chairperson

Dr. Muhammad Ilyas

Department of CS & IT

lar Mushtaq artment of CS & IT Member

Mr. Saad Razzaq

Department of CS & IT

Member

Mr. Aman Ullah

Web Development Cell

Mr. Fahad Maqbool

Department of CS & IT

Secretaryi

Mr. Zahid Anwar

Data Center

Amer-B

Technical Evaluation Criteria (Part –B)

| Sr.# | Evaluation parameters | |
|-------|---|--|
| | Presentation/Demonstration of Proposed Solution | |
| i. | Compliance of Proposed Solution with required Specifications | |
| ii. | Is the proposed solution open source? | |
| iii. | Is there use of one of following technology stacks: Laravel PHP Framework, Asp.NET MVC, Node js, Angular, React, Mysql Database? | |
| iv. | If not, how solution will be rebuilt with our own customized requirements with one of the technology stack mentioned above? | |
| V. | Development and Deployment Strategy | |
| vi. | Timelines | |
| vii. | Scalability | |
| viii. | Data Migration Developed Scripts, training and testing | |
| ix. | After Deployment Support Strategy | |
| X. | Team portfolio (size, experience) | |

Minimum Qualification Criteria is 70%

Total Marks: 40

Passing Marks: 28

Chairperson

Technical Committee

échnidal Committee

Member

Technical Committee

Member

Technical Committee

25/08/22

Member

Technical Committee

Secretary

Technical Committee

Minutes of Meeting/Presentations and Proceedings of Technical Evaluation (Part-B)

It is in continuation to the letter initiated by the office of Directorate of IT regarding "Proceedings on Technical Evaluation of Bids for Purchase of Campus Management System (CMS)" with reference No. 261, Dated: 24-08-2022 to the Secretary Purchase Committee (CMS), and resultantly invitation sent by Secretary Purchase Committee to the qualified bidders (Firms) to appear for physical presentation of their proposed solutions as per evaluation criteria (Part-B) of relevant Tender document. Consequently, representatives of firms appeared for technical presentations of their proposals as per prescribed schedule i.e. on Tuesday, September 06, 2022 in the department of CS & IT.

Following members of Technical Committee (constituted vide Ref: SU/Admin(IIR)/2932-50, Dated: June 07, 2022) attended the meeting:

| Sr. # | Name | Designation | |
|-------|---|-------------|--|
| 01 | Dr. Muhammad Ilyas, Asst. Prof. Dept of CS&IT, Director IT Chairman | | |
| 02 | Mr. Saad Razaaq, Incharge, Dept CS&IT, | Member | |
| 03 | Mr. Fahad Maqbool, Asst. Prof. Dept CS&IT | Member | |
| 04 | Mr. Azhar Musthaq , Asst. Prof. Dept CS&IT | Member | |
| 05 | Mr. Aman Ullah, Computer Programmer, WDC Member | | |
| 06 | Mr. Zahid Anwar, Deputy Director IT | Secretary | |

Secretary Purchase Committee also visited the session.

Following representatives of the Firms appeared for physical presentation of their proposed solutions:

- 1. AARSOL Private Limited
- 2. Quicklink (Pvt.) Ltd.
- 3. Wateen Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd
- I. Representatives of each bidder presented their proposed solutions turn wise in alphabetical order before the Technical Committee.
- II. Technical Committee gone through all the presentations and raised different queries with the presenters regarding their proposed solutions w.r.t the requirements and existing setup of University.
- III. All bidders were asked by the Technical Committee to share their presentations of their proposed solutions and temporary access credentials of their software setups (at director.it@uos.edu.pk) for later review and finalization of the evaluation process.
- IV. Committee recorded the remarks based on the presentations made by the representatives of each bidder and their response on different technical queries as per the parameters of prescribed evolution criteria (part-B).
- V. After through deliberation of all presentations and related details, technical committee has concluded that the solution proposed by MS AARSOL closely meets the requirements of tender document University of Sargodha. Hence technical Committee recommends to proceed further with the rest of process accordingly. Furthermore final result as per technical evaluation criteria (part-B) (enclosed as Annexure-A) for reference.

1 of 3

Saad Parag

Di.M. Sliger

Azha Mushlag Zakid Amuar

Amen Willer

The case is being forwarded to the Secretary Purchase Committee for further process (three VI. number of proposals along with attendance are enclosed in original as received from the office of Secretary Purchase Committee).

Chairman, Orm Styrs Technical Committee/

Director IT

Teghnical Committee/

Asst Prof. Dept of CS&IT

Technical Committee/ Incharge Dept of CS&IT

Member,

Technical Committee/

Incharge WDC Aman Ullah

Technical Committee/ Asst Prof. Dept of CS&IT

Technical Committee/

Dy. Dir. IT Zahid Smwa 1

Annexure-A

Final Scores of

Technical Evaluation (Part-B)



| Evaluator | Total Marks | Remarks |
|------------|-------------|---------------|
| AARSOL | 36 | Qualified |
| QUICKLINKS | 24 | Not Qualified |
| WATEEN | 25 | Not Qualified |

Chairman,

Technical Committee/

Director IT

nical Committee/

Asst Prof. Dept of CS&IT

Technical Committee/

Incharge Dept of CS&IT

Member,

Technical Committee/

Incharge WDC

Member,

Technical Committee/

Asst Prof. Dept of CS&IT

Technical Committee/

Dy. Dir. IT